

CHRIST'S PROMISE AND ALL VATICAN II POPES

Jesus Christ: *"And I say to thee, thou art Peter, and upon this rock I will build my Church, and the gates of Hell shall not prevail against it."* {Matthew 16:18} The key word there was "prevail." Christ did not say that the gates of Hell would have no *influence* against His Church. This is why those who want to destroy Christ's Catholic Church have had some success in corrupting some leaders in the Church. However, the truths and morals taught by this same Church have remained the same over the last 2000 years.

Now during those same 2000 years, Satan has been busy trying to destroy those same truths and morals. His initial attempts were inspiring individual heresies that cropped up, including the most popular one, Arianism - that Christ was not Divine. That heresy, like all the other ones, soon or eventually faded away. (For more specific information, read "A Handbook of Heresies" by M.L. Cozens) Satan's next success was the breaking off of the Greek Orthodox around the year 1000, when they denied the primacy of the Papacy established by Christ - and stated in the first quote above.

Satan's biggest success however was the Protestant Revolution in the 16th Century when Proudful men thought they knew more than Christ himself as to what was to be believed, and even how Christ was to be worshiped - through the Mass that He established at the Last Supper. Satan was certainly very successful in drawing people out of Christ's Church to one they found easier and more convenient. ("We don't want to do any of that penance stuff.") Unfortunately, they fooled the beginnings of millions of people who have followed them in their false, counterfeit, Christian religion since then.

Still, Christ's Catholic Church continued to spread the Gospel unimpeded as it had been for the preceding 1500 years before that revolution, and the 600 years that has followed.

FREEMASONS

Some background history in this area is in order. Satan was very successful in the Protestant Revolution in pulling people out of the Catholic Church - from the outside. Satan inspired Freemasonry back in the 1800's, whose goal, among other things, was to try to destroy the Catholic Church. In 1885, Masonry declared, "As to Catholicism, we Masons must pursue its utter destruction." Like the Communists who followed them, they published their intentions, knowing that the vast majority of people will not learn of it, and a

good percentage of the rest will not believe that it can happen. The Freemasons also boasted, "We will destroy the Church by means of holy obedience." (They were referring, of course, to false obedience to false leaders.)

A secret Masonic doctrine, the "Permanent Instruction of the "Alta Vendita," gave a blueprint for the systematic subversion of the Catholic Church. Knowing that they would not be able to destroy her from the outside, the Freemasons instead plotted to subvert her from within. This document was leaked to the Holy See and ordered published by Pope Pius IX in order to expose the nefarious aims of this secret society. (It will not be detained here, as it is available online.)

Canon 2335 of the Code of Canon Law {1917} declares that, "*Persons who have themselves enrolled in the Masonic sect or in other associations of the same kind which plot against the Church or the legitimate civil powers incur ipso facto excommunication.*" (This was removed from the new Code of Canon Law. The reason why will be covered later.) Few people know that to become a 33rd Degree Mason, one has to pledge allegiance to Satan.

Freemasonry gets many rich and influential liberals to join it, some by Pride, thinking that it is just a secret society of important people. Others know it's main purpose is to get rid of all Christian religions, especially the Catholic Church - and by any means. They are the ones Christ was talking about when he warned about "*wolves in sheep's clothing.*" They rise to levels of power and then try to change doctrines and dogmas to cause disbelief and abandoning of the Catholic Faith.

All of the above attempts were efforts to destroy Christ's Church from the *outside*. In the 20th Century, however, saw the beginnings of Satan's attempt to destroy Christ's Church from the *inside*.

Many have heard the story of the frog that is put in boiling water and he jumps out. However if he's put in lukewarm water and the temperature is slowly raised, he will sit there until he is boiled to death. Satan is well aware of this principle, and he has used it very successfully for many centuries, and in a number of areas.

Now Modernism, also known as Liberalism, has been condemned by the Catholic Church as least as far back as 1910, when Pope St. Pius X issued his "*Syllabus of Errors.*" He called it "*a synthesis of heresies,*" because it denies many Catholic doctrines. He also instituted the Oath against Modernism - which was to be sworn to by all clergy, pastors, confessors, preachers, religious superiors, and professors in philosophical-theological seminaries. It was to

combat the errors that were starting to spring up.

St. Pius X warning in *Pascendi Dominici*, said that never “clearly confessing one’s own heresy is typical behavior of the Modernists, because in this way, they can hide themselves within the Church.”

So how was the infiltration accomplished? One of the successful ways was exposed by Bella Dodd, a former member of the National Council of the Communist Party U.S.A. After she converted to the Catholic Faith, she testified before the U.S. House Un-American Activities Committee, that the Communists wanted to infiltrate the seminaries and religious orders of the Catholic Church. She said that she was personally responsible for 1100 young men entering the priesthood. They were to be ordained, and then climb the ladder of influence to become Bishops, The ultimate goal was to weaken the Catholic’s Church’s stand and effectiveness against Communism. And what could be part of their method? How about recruiting homosexuals, and have them molest altar boys over a period of years, until their perversion finally becomes known? When that would occur, it would cause a worldwide scandal, a lack of Faith and trust in all priests, and billions of dollars in reparation.

Since Communists do not believe in God, it is rather obvious those men did not want to become priests in order to save Souls

In 2013, a study estimated that 40 to 50% of Bishops in the U.S. have homosexual inclinations. Those same Bishops were evidently the ones who allowed homosexual priests to victimize altar boys and others. . (This would also explain why some Bishops moved pedophile priests from place to place, instead of kicking them out of the priesthood and turning them over to the police.) As of 2002, a reported 16,000 Americans have come forward saying they were abused by priests as children. Those Communists didn’t just become Bishops - they became the head of seminaries. Seminaries first became the hotbed of liberalism, and then the hotbed of homosexuality. They stooped focusing on the training in morality and spirituality. The pedophile scandal has cost the Church more than \$4 billion in reparations, and donations at Sunday Mass have been reduced by as much as 50% in places. (While Satan’s favorite way to destroy the Catholic Church is through the acceptance of heresies, he certainly would have no problem with bankruptcy having much of the same effect.) While that plan of Satan did have some success, the Church made it through another failed attempt to destroy it.

Sexual scandals are not totally new in the Catholic Church.

Way back in 1215, the Pope decreed that “Bishops who covered up sexual irregularities of their priests were to be deposed in perpetuity.” Whatever success Satan had at that time did not match his success in the 20th Century.

The most basic and important fact of theology, is that Satan hates Jesus Christ. Because of that, he hates the Catholic Church, the one established by Jesus Christ for the Salvation of Souls.

This Catholic Church has stated as a doctrine three times, that “Outside of the Church, there is no salvation.” This, of course, is unpopular with Protestants, who at least, believe that Christ was our Savior. Unfortunately, they was to take Christ on *their* terms - instead of *Christ’s* terms. And then there are the Jews, who while believing in one God, refuse to believe in the Holy Trinity, or that Christ is the Savior. Now while Satan would first rather people be pagans who believe in false gods, or second, that people are Jews, he is quite acceptable to the third, that people are Protestants. In other words, be *anything* except 100% orthodox, obedient Catholics. One could even say that Satan will take the fourth - “cafeteria” Catholics who only obey what they want to obey - and who, therefore, are not true Catholics.

This tactic of infiltrating the Church by liberals did not just happen in the United States, but all around the world. Humble, traditional priests do not work towards advancement up the hierarchy, whereas Prideful liberal priests - especially those Communists - as well as Freemasons - have that specific intention.

It is important to note that since the Catholic Church has not changed any of its dogmas or doctrines or standards of morality for 2000 years, this could not have happened if it was not a divine institution - and the proof of what Christ said, “*I will be with you all days even to the summation of the world, and the gates of Hell will not prevail against it.*” If it is not a logical conclusion that it is the Catholic Church that Christ promised that to, then how did it possibly happen? So the end result of all the scandals will be the same Church that Christ founded.

Before bringing Satan’s partial successes up to date, it is pertinent to mention three prophecies. The first one it one is in the Bible. It speaks about an apostasy to come in {2Thessalonians 2:3}, “*Let no one deceive you in any way, for the day of the Lord will not come unless the apostasy comes first, and the man of sin is reveled, the son of perdition.*”

There also was a prophesy of St. Francis of Assisi: “*There will be an uncanonically elected Pope who will cause a great*

schism.”

There also is the approved apparition of Our Lady of La Salette, who said that *"Rome will lose the faith and become the seat of the Anti-christ."*

Satan's next success bore fruit with Vatican II. It seems few Catholics know that Vatican II was a *pastoral*, not a *doctrinal* Council. (Anda speaking of "pastoral," no priest should deny the fullness of doctrines or lower the high standards of morality or modesty, under the guise or rationalization of being "pastoral.")

Vatican II being a *pastoral* Council means there is nothing that came out of it that one is required to believe - and two things that are absolutely not to be believed - and that is the two heresies of false "ecumenism" and "religious liberty."

The "Decree on Ecumenism" approved and recommended common worship with non-Catholics, and placed all religions on an equal level. (Heresy) This promotes Religions Indifferentism, which originally emerged during the Renaissance, and was supported by Freemasons. False ecumenism has as its basis, to dialog with non-Catholics instead of trying to convert them. However Christ said, *"Go out and make disciples of all nations."*

{Matt 28:19} He did not say to go out and just dialog with them.

False ecumenism was condemned in the past by Pope Pius IX in *Syllabus of Errors* in 1864, by Pope Pius XI in *Quas Primas* in 1925, and by Pope Pius XII in *Mystici Corporis* in 1943. The only true "ecumenism" is a fulfilling of Christ's prophesy in John 10:16. *"And other sheep I have that are not of this fold. Them also I must bring, and they shall hear my voice, and there shall be one fold and one shepherd."* The shepherd is Christ, and the one fold is a return of all Christians to the Catholic Church He founded.

Pope Pius XII said about ecumenism, "True reunion can only come about by the return of dissidents to the true Church of Christ. (Every religion in the world except the Catholic Church is a man-made religion.)

The "Decree on Religion Liberty" viewed other religions as only different paths to God. (Heresy) It places the Triune God and false gods on the same level. It is a belief that God doesn't care to what religion you belong. If so, then one has to believe that all the Apostles except John who were martyred, died for nothing - and that the martyrdom of millions of Catholics since then for standing up for Christ's Catholic Church was also for nothing.

No one should make the fatal (eternal) mistake to believe that it doesn't make a difference to Christ as to what religion one is to follow.

In one of its documents, *Gaudium et Spes*, it uses the language of Teilhard de Chardin, a darling of Marxists and New Agers, whose ideas have been condemned as heresy. He wrote, "Who can tell if a good dose of Marxism is not the thing to save us?."

In *Lumen Gentium*, it is said that atheists could attain salvation. (This is not only a heresy, but against the most basic common sense.)

One Lutheran minister, an Observer at Vatican II said it was "The best Council the Protestants ever had."

Fr. Edward Schillingbeck, a liberal theologian, said "We used ambiguous phrases during the Council and we know how we will interpret them after."

Cardinal Kasper (made a Cardinal by John Paul II) said, "The notion of conversion of non-Catholics has been discarded." (Heresy) (Religious indifferentism)

The liberals and modernists - who are presently in charge of the Church on many levels from top to bottom - would like everyday Catholics to believe that the Church was being "renewed" by Vatican II. It did just the opposite. Another prophesy by Christ is in Matthew 7:15-16: "*Beware of false prophets, who come to you in sheep's clothing, but inwardly are ravenous wolves. By their fruits you will know them.*" Christ was not only talking about those who would start their own religion again on the "outside," but the fruits of some on the "inside."

What are the fruits of Vatican II? Let's use a few statistics to compare the Catholic Church in 1965 in the U.S. to that of 2022: Priests went from 59,426 to 41,845. Nuns from 170,436 to 41,762. Teaching nuns went from over 100,000 to a few thousand. Over 1000 church parishes as well as over 1300 schools closed. And does anyone remember how going to English was supposed to increase Mass attendance? Weekly Mass attendance has dropped 50% in the U.S., and 35,000,000 have left the Catholic Faith that Christ established since Vatican II. - to their downfall.

Vatican II was supposed to bring about "a new springtime" into the Church. Instead, it really brought the "dead of winter."

HERESIES AND HERETICS

Definition of a heresy: A denial or doubt of one or more of the *de fide* teachings of the Catholic Church. There has been over 40 anti-Popes in the 2000 year history of Christ's Church. Are all the Vatican II "Popes" part of that number?

Cardinal Luigi Ciappi, the papal theologian to five Popes, said

in 1995, that “In the Third Secret of Fatima, it is foretold among other things, that the great apostasy in the Church will begin at the top.” (The “top” is the Papacy.)

St. Thomas Aquinas’s definition: *“The heretic, while he may profess to be a Christian, selects those parts of the Church’s teachings with which he agrees, and rejects the rest.”*

St Robert Bellarmine (A Doctor of the Church) - *“A pope who is a manifest heretic automatically ceases to be Pope and head, just as he ceases automatically to be a Christian and a member of the Church.”*

Pope Paul IV decreed that, *“An election of a heretic to the papacy would be invalid, and that man elected would lack all authority. A heretic incurs ipso facto excommunication automatically without sentence of law.”*

St. Francis de Sales: *“Now when the Pope is explicitly a heretic, he falls ipso facto from his dignity and out of the Church.”*

St. Alphonsus Lugouri: *“If God allowed a Pope to be notoriously heretical and contumacious, he would cease to be Pope, and the Pontificate would be vacant.”* He added, *“If ever a Pope as a private person would fall into heresy, then he would immediately fall from the papacy; for since he would be outside the church, he could no longer be the head of the church.”*

St. Pius V in *Inter Multiplices*, wrote that the election to the papacy of a heretic who is discovered afterwards to be a heretic is invalid. The decree stated that a manifest heretic would no longer be a member of the Church. The reason would be that a manifest heretic would fall from office entirely by himself *ipso jure*, because a public expression of heresy by its very nature, is a public confession of guilt of the crime of heresy, and in an admission of the fact of defection from the Catholic Faith.

St. Augustine: *“If anyone holds the single heresy, he is not a Catholic.”* (This goes for anyone proclaiming to be a “Catholic,” from lay persons to religious - all the way up to the “Pope.”)

Vatican I - a doctrinal Council - addressed the question of a Pope becoming a heretic: *“If he denies any Dogma of the Church held by every true believer, he’s no more Pope than either you or I.”* Even if a manifest heretic would be elected Pope, it would not be valid, because he would lack the necessary disposition of being united to the Church by the bond of Faith.

Pope Paul IV issued a Bull which decreed that if ever it should appear that someone who was elected Roman Pontiff had beforehand *“deviated from the Catholic faith or fallen into any heresy,”* his election, even with the agreement and unanimous consent of all the

Cardinals would be *"null, legally invalid and void."*

The 1917 Code of Canon Law states, *"All apostates from the Christian faith, and all heretics and schismatics are ipso facto excommunicated."* Canon Law uses the Latin term *ipso facto* {by the fact itself} and excommunicated {cut off from the Catholic Church} to denote that a person is immediately and instantly separated from the Catholic Church by heresy or apostasy. (No official declaration is necessary.)

VATICAN II "POPE"

Now, just what was the philosophy, theology, words and actions of the VII "Popes"? The why of the word Pope in parenthesis will become obvious.

JOHN XXIII

By 1958, the success of liberals was evident when electing the first liberal Pope in the 20th Century. Before becoming "Pope," Angelo Roncalli was banished out of the Vatican by Pope Pius XXII for his liberalism. While stationed in Turkey, he was initiated into the Masonic society of the Rose Cross, {Rosicrucians}, and took the name "John."

According to FBI files, at the 1958 conclave to elect a new Pope, Cardinal Siri was elected Pope, and white smoke issued from the Vatican to signify that a new Pope was elected. However, he was threatened by the Communists with two things. The first was that if he accepted the position, he and his whole family would be killed. The second was that an atom bomb would be dropped on the Vatican. He then did not accept, and so black smoke then was released. Then Roncalli was elected and white smoke was issued again. If this is all true, John XXIII didn't have to be worried about being threatened, as he had always been pro-Communist.

Roncalli took the name John XIII. Before he was crowned "Pope," he was obliged to take the following oath: *"I promise to neither diminish nor change anything transmitted to me by my venerable predecessors As their faithful disciple and successor, I pledge myself not to admit any innovation, but, on the contrary, to fervently venerate and to conserve the deposit confided to me with all my strength. Consequently, whether it applies to us or to another, we shall apply the anathema to anyone who has the presumption to introduce any innovation whatever and who was opposed to the evAngelical tradition, or to the integrity of the faith and of the Catholic religion."* (Was his taking of this oath an out-and-out lie?

After becoming “Pope”:

- In a general audience in 1960, he spoke against devotions in the Church.
- His first encyclical endorsed Socialism, and called for the elimination of private property.
- He praised Modernists and considered traditional Catholics “irrational.”
- In his encyclical, *Pacem in Terris*, he taught the heresy of false Religious Liberty even before it was brought to the floor at Vatican II. Concerning it, the Masonic Bulletin said, ‘After having carefully weighted every word... the encyclical *Pacem in Terris* is a vigorous statement of Masonic doctrine.’
- American theologian Msgr. Joseph Fenton was shocked when he compared the document *De Ecclesia* with writings of past Modernist heretics. They were carbon copies.
- Called militant Communists “reasonable men of natural moral integrity.”
- He had declared that he personally was in sympathy with Marxism, since its tenets were in harmony with those of Christ. (Heresy) (The Catholic Church has condemned Communism more than 200 times - but not by Vatican II – as result of the Vatican – Moscow agreement. John XXIII agreed that the Council would not say anything about Communism and allowed Communist observers there.) Pope Pius XII in his encyclical *Letter on Atheistic Communism*, wrote “*Communism is intrinsically evil, and no one who would save Christian civilization may collaborate with it in any undertaking whatsoever.*”
- Said, "If I were born a Muslim, I believe that I would've also always stayed a good Muslim, faithful to my religion." (In other words if he had been born a Muslim, he would not have converted to Christianity, much less become a member of the Catholic Church that Jesus Christ established.)
- Found a statue of Hippolytus, an anti-Pope of the 3rd Century, and had it restored and placed at the entrance of the Vatican Library.
- He was the first “Pope” to be involved in interfaith worship. The First Commandment and Canon 1258 (1917 version) forbids common worship with non-Catholics.

Another historical note is important here. When the Blessed Virgin Mary gave the three secrets to Lucia during the apparitions at Fatima, they were not to be revealed at that time. The first Two

Secrets have already been discussed. The Third Secret was to be revealed in 1960, as it would be better understood at that time. Catholics around the world waited in great anticipation for it. John XXIII read it, but decided against revealing it. Not only that, but he put Lucia of Fatima under an order of silence, so she could not tell what it was. And according to other sources who read it, it talked about the apostasy coming in the Church, and warned against an evil Council. Since John XXIII called for Vatican II, it is obvious why he didn't want the Third Secret to be revealed. It would have undermined his Council, and a great multitude of Catholics worldwide would not have been seduced into giving it any credence.

It is more than interesting to note, that Pope Pius XII, even before Sister Lucia committed the Third Secret to paper, made an astonishing prophecy about a coming upheaval in the church. He said, *"I am worried by the Blessed Virgin's message is to Lucia of Fatima . This persistence of Mary about the dangers which menace the Church is a divine warning against the suicide of altering the faith, in Her liturgy, Her theology and Her Souls... I hear all around me innovators who wish to dismantle the Sacred Chapel, destroy the universal flame of the Church, reject her ornaments and make her feel remorse for Her historical past."*

If John XXIII was a heretic before being elected, then he was not a validly elected Pope in the first place. If he became one afterwards, he then lost all authority as a "Pope." (Of course, if a "Pope" is no longer a Catholic because of his heresies, then his encyclicals carry no weight at all. And while it may be one thing to hold a private heretical belief, it is quite another to promote it under the guise of being a "Pope.")

God's opinion of John XXIII could be shown by the report that his body was found lying down in his casket when it was opened for his supposed "canonization." It didn't turn upside down naturally.

PAUL VI

So what did Paul VI do before becoming "Pope"?

- He lead a riot at his seminary because of his sympathy with Marxism. He never retracted it.
- In 1951, he gave a lecture and said that Catholics should work for the formation of a new one-world religion. This was also taught by Teilhard de Charden, whose writings were condemned. (The idea that Catholics and non-Catholics can work together to build some sort of new "civilization of love" was actually condemned by Pope St. Pius X when he

condemned the Sillon movement in France in 1910.)

- As a Monsignor, he supported Communist forces during the Spanish Civil War.
- In the archives of Cardinal Tisserant, there are “letters” in which Paul VI reported to the KGB the names of priests who clandestinely performed their duties in Communist countries.
- In 1957, he gave a speech in Rome and exhorted the crowd to embrace the spirit of Liberalism and Secular Humanism, which is in direct contradiction of Pope Pius IX’s *Syllabus of Errors*. (Heresy)
- The first official act of John XXII was to make him a Cardinal. He and John XIII had become Freemasons together. His Masonic membership was exposed in “Confessions of an Illuminati.”

After becoming “Pope”:

- He said, “It is meaningless to be opposed to Communism. The Church cannot, should not be against anything...a crusade against Communism is pointless.” (Heresy)
- When the Council convened again in 1963, Paul VI declared that his Church would be something vastly different from the Catholic Church. (He certainly fulfilled his intention.)
- During Vatican II, he was presented with a petition signed by 430 Bishops to condemn Communism, He rejected it.
- In his 1964 encyclical, *Ecclesiam Suam*, he wrote, “...the Moslem religion especially deserving of our admiration for all that is true and good in their worship of God.” (Heresy)
- Also in 1964, he taught that “Jews, Moslems, and Christians worship the same God.” (That is a heretical lie. Jews and Moslems do not accept Christ as the Son of God, so they don’t believe in the Holy Trinity.)
- In 1967, he abolished the Profession of Faith and Oath against Modernism, that Pope St. Pius X had imposed in 1913, and which was required by all seminarians before becoming a priest. (Is it because there are no more modernists, or that Paul VI was one?)

The Oath against Modernism contains the following: *"I accept sincerely the doctrine of faith transmitted from the apostles through the Orthodox fathers, always in the same sense and interpretation, even to us, and so I reject the heretical invention of the evolution of dogmas, passing from one meaning to another, different from that which the Church first had."* {Anyone wonder why this Oath was eliminated?}

- At the United Nations General Assembly in 1965, he said, “The peoples of the Earth turn to the United Nations as the last

hope of concord and peace. (Heresy) So according to him, Our Lady of Fatima was wrong when she said that the Consecration of Russia would accomplish it. (So one can believe Paul VI or the Blessed Virgin Mary. Take your choice.)

- He said that Mao-Tse-Tung's atheistic philosophy shared Christian values with Catholicism. (Heresy)
- In 1974, he also lifted the ban against Catholics becoming Masons. (Sure, since he was one.)
(And did the Masons all suddenly become good Catholics?)
- He considered devotion to Our Lady as extreme, and in conflict with the new theology. When he visited Fatima, Lucia, the last remaining seer from Our Lady's apparitions, asked to speak to him, and she was refused. And during his time there, he did not as much say one public Hail Mary, much less the Rosary.
- He met with Saul Alinsky three times as "Pope." Alinsky wrote the book, *Rules for Radicals*, and dedicated his book to "...the first radical known to man who rebelled against the establishment and did it so effectively that he at least won his own kingdom - Lucifer." {Now at those meetings, just who was influencing who? It is highly doubtful Alinsky was taking instructions in becoming Catholic. (And if the name Saul Alinsky sounds kind of familiar, he was Barack Obama's mentor and hero.)

Final facts on Paul VI:

- Wore on his chest, the Ephod of Caiaphas, the symbol of the denial of the Divinity of Christ.
- Didn't want any Christian symbol on his coffin, not even a cross.

Since 1559, there was an Index of Forbidden Books, a list of reading forbidden by Catholic Church authority as dangerous to the Faith or morals of Roman Catholics. Publication of the list ceased in 1966 by Paul VI - when he was busy changing much in the Church.

Formerly, an *Imprimatur*, the permission of a local Bishop was required for the publication of Prayer books, Catechisms, and other catechetical texts and for books on Scripture, theology, church history, or religious or moral subjects. It is seldom sought or given at the present time, and would not be an effective guide anyway, as most writers who are published are liberals or heretics, and most of the Bishops who would give the *Imprimatur* are liberals or heretics themselves.

After Vatican II, the most important {destructive?} thing Paul VI was to promulgate a new Mass in 1969. It should be noted that Paul VI had six Protestants assisting in the formulation of the new Mass. (That's like a President of the U.S. asking six Communists to help in writing a new U.S. Constitution and Bill of Rights.)

At that time it became allowed in the vernacular {other languages} especially the common language of a country. While this was a popular change with some, it did away with the unity of worship of all Catholics no matter where they lived.

This new Mass is not considered valid by many traditionalists. One of the reasons is that Paul VI changed the ordination rites in 1968. However the new rite did not *specifically deny the sacrificial priesthood*.

Luther and other Protestant revolutionists, however, made it a point to eradicate the idea of sacrifice from their "reformed" liturgies. Altars and crucifixes were removed, and Scripture readings and sermons replaced the concept of the Real Presence of Christ in the Blessed Sacrament.

The new rite should be considered valid by all Catholics. Otherwise, most of the even 100% orthodox Catholics over the last 50 years would not have had the Sacraments of Confession and the Eucharist just because of not having the opportunity to attend a Mass with a priest ordained with the rite that existed for centuries before 1968.

So Latin churches with ordained priests in the old rite point to the Novus Ordo as invalid, and the Novus Ordo church points to the Tridentine Mass as valid but not licit. (It would be best to consider both Masses as valid and leave the rest up to Christ.)

At the same time, there is no question that the new Mass is far different from the old Mass, and much more that simply being said in English rather than Latin.

As Pope Pius XII explained in 'Mediator Dei,' *"The use of the Latin language... is a manifest and beautiful sign of unity, as well as an effective antidote for any corruption of doctrinal truth."*

The beginnings of the Roman Mass are found in the writings of St. Justin (150 A.D.) and St. Hippolytus (215 A.D.) By 250 A.D. the Mass was being said in Latin throughout most of the Roman world, and the Latin Canon as we know it was completed by 399 A.D. While the Mass has remained essentially the same from the days of the Apostles, it was codified in its present form by Pope Pius V in the sixteenth century. The traditional Latin Mass is, then, a perfect expression of the unchanging Truths of the Catholic Church. (There are much more knowledgeable and wiser people than this writer who have written books on the theological differences and the spirituality that was lost in the change.)

And Latin Churches themselves are inspiring place to pray - usually with a large crucifix over the altar, and a multitude of statues of Our Lady and the Saints. Modern NO churches often resembles theaters inside and outside. Sometimes statues have been replaced by banners. (Like Protestant churches.) And some even have no kneelers. (Like Protestant churches.)

Modernists hate anything traditional and the No. 1 thing is the Tridentine Latin Mass - because the Mass is the most important thing.

In 1970, the new Mass became obligatory. Orthodox theologians have said that no pope and no council possess the authority to invalidate or abolish or forbid a rite that is so deeply rooted in the history of the Church. Paul VI was an era when he expressed his conviction that the rite long entrusted to the Church should never again be celebrated anywhere in the world.

(It can be concluded that, in general, that the Tridentine Latin Mass is based on pleasing Jesus Christ, while the Novus Ordo is based on pleasing people. Many practices of the NO even parallel those of the 17th and 18th century heretic Jansenists.)

Now, some obvious reverential and practical differences between the two Masses will be listed, and the abbreviations of LM for the Latin Mass, and NO for the Novus Ordo will be used.

LM - Upon entering the Church, there is silence, as people are talking to God.

NO - One sometimes gets the impression of entering a football stadium with all the talking going on before and after Mass. And it is rarely about religious matters.

LM - The Blessed Sacrament is the center of attention when you enter the church, as it is in the center of the main altar.

NO - You have to look for the tabernacle - or ask where they put it. It may be on a side altar, separate room, or in the basement.

LM - Where there is an altar to offer the "Sacrifice of the Mass."

NO - Where there is a table for a meal.

LM - Where Holy Communion is received kneeling and on the tongue. St. Augustine taught that receiving the Sacred Host on the tongue in a kneeling posture displays reverence and prevents desecration of the Blessed Sacrament. It was condemned as an abuse as far back as the Synod of Rouen in 650 A.D.

NO - Where the majority receive it standing and in their unconsecrated hand.

LM - 100% of parishioners believe in the Real Presence.

NO - Surveys have shown that 70% of Novus Ordo parishioners do not believe in it. That is due mostly because 30% of Novus Ordo priests reportedly do not believe in it.

LM - Altar railings.

NO - No separation (difference) between the priest and the people.

LM - People are dressed in their “Sunday best” - and high standards are upheld. ” Women wear dresses, usually long ones in order not to show off their legs. Men wear collared shirts and ties.

NO - Many people are dressed as if they are going fishing or to a picnic. And people wear shirts and jackets with professional sports team emblems. (Distracting.) And some women dress immodestly. (More distracting.)

LM - Latin brings about an element of mystery.

NO - English brings about an element of familiarity.

LM - Where the consecrated Host is held up to God the Father.

NO - Where the Host is held up to the people.

LM - Organ played for music. Traditional Catholic hymns are being sung

NO - Guitars, maracas, drums, cymbals. There is a hymnal with 1000 songs, 995 of them being traditional Protestant hymns or new, bland ditties written since Vatican II. (At a NO Mass, have you ever seen a little old woman make a point of sitting next to a male bodybuilder so she will have help picking up a new hymnal?).

LM - Choirs in the balcony so as not to cause attention to themselves.

NO - Choirs in the front of the church. (Like Protestants.)

LM - Where devotions are practiced: Benediction - All-Night Vigils - Rosaries - Processions - May Crownings - Parish Retreats

NO - Traditional devotions to Christ and Our Lady is often: “What’s that?”

LM - Where the penance of not eating meat on Friday as a penance is still practiced.

NO - “Penance - what’s that?”

LM - Christ is offered under only one species - since He is present Body, Blood, Soul, and Divinity in each species. (St. Thomas Aquinas opposed reception of Holy Communion under both species.)

NO - Most people drink from the cup when offered, including children who have a tendency to make a face afterwards. (I guess some people think that’s cute.)

LM - Actual sermons on what we need to believe and practice.

NO - “Feel good homilies” - and priests who try to entertain the congregation, especially with humor. A careful reading of the accounts of the Last Supper does not include even one saying that Christ tried to make his the Apostles laugh. The Catholic Church needs holy priests - not comic priests. St. Anthony even said what’s a sermon should be like: “*The sermon must be true, not false, without frivolous jesting.*”

LM - The highest standards of morality are upheld.

NO - There are couples who go from Novus Ordo priest to Novus Ordo priest until they find one who says that contraception, or even fornication, is not a Mortal Sin.

NO “homilies” are often focused on the “social gospel,” or just about writing from the Old Testament and the New Testament. This is good in itself, but that’s all that Protestant ministers talk about. 95% of NO homilies could be delivered in a Protestant church by the minister there without one word being changed. If that is all that is talked about, then one never hears about specific Catholic topics, either on faith and morals as well as devotions. (It is the same in many religion classes. If just passages from the Bible are covered, it is like teaching Addition and Subtraction in schools as the only math.)

In Protestant churches the word “sin” is often mentioned, but specific sins are not condemned. They don’t want to offend their congregation. That would lower the collections. (When that happens at a NO Mass, is it for the same reason?)

LM sermons don’t just condemn sins, but specific sins.

Ask yourself when was the last time you heard a “homily” at a NO Mass on:

- * Artificial birth control, fornication, or adultery being a Mortal Sin?
- * The Ten Commandments - each one covered separately and in detail as to what is forbidden?
- * Original Sin and the consequences of it.
- * Confession encouraged on at least a monthly basis?
- * The Real Presence in the Eucharist? Or even the word “Transubstantiation”?
- * Our Lady of Fatima and her request for the consecration of Russia for world peace?
- * Explanations of the difference in the Ascension and the Assumption and the difference between the Incarnation and the Immaculate Conception.
- * 6 Commandments of the Church

Paul VI’s General Instruction on the Roman Missal instructed priests not to explain the Faith to people, but rather, preach from the Bible and stick to social issues.)

And one of the most ridiculous things at some NO Masses, is for the priest to ask the congregation for their own intentions. It becomes obvious that some people do not know the difference between private prayers and public prayers. I’ve actually heard a woman once say, “That my husband, Ralph, gets no infection when he gets his big toenail removed tomorrow, we pray to the Lord.” After that, I found another church to

attend before possibly having to hear, “That my sister, Cathy, doesn’t find out that her husband, Henry, is having sex with her best friend, Mindy, we pray to the Lord.”

It can be concluded that, in general, that the Tridentine Latin Mass is based on pleasing Jesus Christ, while the Novus Ordo is based on pleasing people.) Many practices of the NO even parallel those of the 17th an 18th Century Jansenists.

One of the most important changes was that the Novus Ordo Mass eliminated the Prayer of St. Michael the ArchAngel against Satan. This Prayer came about as a result of a vision Pope Leo XIII had on October 13, 1884. After celebrating Mass in his private Vatican Chapel. He suddenly heard two voices - one kind and gentle, the other guttural and harsh. They seemed to come from near the Tabernacle. As he listened, he heard the guttural voice of Satan tell God that he could destroy the Church if he had 75 to 100 years and greater power and influence “over those who would give themselves over to my service.” God granted this to him, just as He did many millennia ago, granting Satan power over Job. After this, the Pope composed the Prayer to St. Michael, with instructions for it to be said after all Low Masses everywhere. Again, it is: “*St. Michael the ArchAngel, defend us in battle. Be our protection against the wickedness and snares of the devil. May God rebuke him, we humbly pray, and do thou O Prince of the Heavenly Host, cast into Hell, Satan and all the evil spirits who prowl through the world seeking the ruin of Souls. Amen.*”

There are about a billion people in the world who claim to be Catholic, and about half go to Mass weekly. Starting in 1969 when the new Mass was implemented, there were less Catholics, but more went to Mass on a weekly basis. So let’s say that at that time, there were also 500 million who attended Mass weekly. So if you multiply 500 million, times 52 weeks a year, times the 50 years of the Novus Ordo Mass, then 1,300,000,000,000 Prayers to St. Michael have not been said since 1969. That’s one trillion, three hundred billion. (Is it any wonder that Satan has made such inroads into the Catholic Church in the last 50 years?)

Also eliminated after Mass was the three Hail Mary’s after Mass, as well as three “*Most Sacred Heart of Jesus, have mercy on us.*” So take that number above, and multiply it times three for those Prayers not being said. That’s three trillion, nine hundred billion. (Can anyone in the world suggest that was a positive thing?)

To sum up, the new ride of Mass places man at its center, whereas the Latin Mass places God at the center.

When Paul VI died, he received praise from the Freemasons and Communists. (What a surprise.) And remembering “*By their fruits you will know them.*” - during his 15-year reign, more than 55,000 priests left the priesthood.

Incidentally, there was no cross on his coffin.

JOHN PAUL I

He didn’t get a chance to cause any harm to the Church, but

by taking the name of the previous two heretics, John Paul I, it is obvious where his theology stood, and that he planned to continue the work of his heretical predecessors. He died just 33 days into his papacy,

- He did give a clue to where he always stood. After *Humanity Vitae* was published, as a Cardinal, he sent a plea to Paul VI to reconsider a legitimate use of the birth control pill.
- He publicly stated that he no longer accepted the Divine Religion, entrusted to the Catholic Church, to safeguard and to teach, as the “only true religion” with rights that others have not, and that he no longer believed that only truth has rights. “In the end, I convinced myself, however, that we had been wrong.”

JOHN PAUL II

Before and after becoming “Pope”:

- As a youth, played soccer with Jews - against Catholics.
- He collaborated with Germany in the production of gas for the gas chambers. At the end of the war, for fear of being called on account for his cooperation in war crimes in Poland, he took refuge under the protection of the Catholic Church.
- He was another one who didn’t see anything wrong with Communism. (Heresy)
- Proclaimed Charles Darwin’s ideas on evolution compatible with Christian faith and called them more than a theory. {So much for Adam & Eve - according to this heresy.}
- In 1980, praised the Masonic motto of the French Revolution. He said, “Liberty, Equality, Fraternity is a profoundly Christian concept.” (Heresy)
- In his first encyclical, “*In the Redeemer of Man*,” he did not mention the word Catholic or Catholic Church once in a total of 58 pages.
- In 1983, he promulgated a new “Code of Canon Law.” In it, the 1917 prohibition against allowing intercommunion between Catholics and non-Catholics was removed. It also does not require Catholic baptism of children. Also removed was the excommunications of Catholics who became Freemasons. (Since the Freemasons avowed intention is to destroy the Catholic Church, does that make any sense at all?) It was necessary for him to have a new Code in order to try to make all of that official, as well as the heresies of Vatican II. It was also the same reason he authorized and approved a new “Catechism of the Catholic Church.” in 1992. Both the new Code and the new Catechism were promulgated to further the

heresies of Vatican II. Since the idea was to change all the traditions of the Catholic Church, the "Pope" certainly didn't want the catechism of the Council of Trent to continue as the Church's official catechism. (To say that Vatican II, the new Code of Canon Law, and the new Catechism of the Catholic Church should not be rejected because they are not all bad, is like saying that Adam & Eve eating the forbidden fruit in the Garden of Eden wasn't all bad, because of the vitamins and minerals in the apple.)

- Taught that Hell was not a physical place, and stated, "Whether or not any human beings are in Hell is not something we can know." (Heresy) He also said that Hell should not be seen as a fiery underworld. (Heresy) (Too bad he'd never read the Gospels, because Christ said, "*The Angels will go out and separate the wicked from among the just, and will cast them into the furnace of fire.*" The Bible mentions Hell many more times than it mentions Heaven.)
- In his encyclical, *Mission of the Redeemer*, he wrote, "The Redemption event brings salvation to all." (Heresy) Universal salvation has been condemned by at least as far back as the Council of Constantinople in 553 AD.}
- He praised Voodooism in Benin in 1993.
- He continued the silencing of Lucia of Fatima, as she would have said that when the Third Secret of Fatima was supposed to have been revealed in 2000, that it wasn't all of it - and would have said what it was. (Even Mother Angelica, the founder of EWTN, said on the air, that she didn't believe that we got all of it.) Concerning the third secret of Fatima, Father Ingo Dollinger, personal confidant to Benedict the 16th, revealed that Benedict described the Third Secret of Fatima as concerning "a bad council and a bad mass. " Archbishop Carlo Vigano explained that because of a cover-up operation, "the third part of the message {of Fatima} ... remains a secret today. Cardinal Raymond Burke, one of the rare, truly 100% orthodox Cardinals, also agrees that the consecration of Russia was not done as our lady requested. The conversion of Russia and world peace are Graces promised by God to be obtained by means of that Consecration, but the ultimate purpose of it is to save Souls from Hell by establishing devotion to the Immaculate Heart of Mary.
- Claimed that the Old Covenant was not superseded by the New Covenant. (The Council of Florence, a doctrinal Council, said that the old covenant is now dead.)

- He even said, concerning homosexuality, that the abusers were in fact the victims. Estimates of practicing homosexuals in the Vatican range from 20 to 50%. {Any wonder why nothing was done about the predator priests and the bishops who sheltered them, by the "Pope" ?}
 - He said, "Our heritage will be an eternal eroticism, eroticism is our current task, celestial eroticism is our goal." (Should any comment be necessary?)
 - Said that international law - not God's Law or Our Lady of Fatima's peace plan, but international law - is a means of avoiding war.
 - At an ecumenical gathering at Assisi in 1986, he joined in "prayer" with 160 different religious leaders, including a variety of pagans, including Voodoo priests, medicine men, snake worshipers, witch doctors, and African animists who are devil worshipers. During the meeting, JP II refused to allow the statue of Our Lady of Fatima to enter the church, but allowed the Dalai Lama to put a Buddhist statue on top of the tabernacle in the Church of St. Francis. He repeated this blasphemous and scandalous act in 2002. (Canon 1258 (1917) "It is unlawful for the faithful in any way actively to be present or take part in the religious services of non-Catholics.") This was the worst case of breaking the First Commandment, *"I am the Lord thy God, thou shalt not have strange gods before me."* that could be committed. As far back as 365, the Council of Laodicea said: *"No one shall pray in common with heretics and schismatics."* St. Francis Xavier, the missionary to India said, *"All the invocations of the pagans are hateful to God because all their gods are devils."*
(Was it a coincidence that a great earthquake struck Assisi not long after this sacrilegious and scandalous event?)
- "For the Church, always lead to Man. Man is the primary route the Church must travel; he is the primary and fundamental way."
 "God became man so that man might become God."
 (Heresy) Man does not become God.
- He referred to Luther as "a common master of theology, and lifted his excommunication. (Did Luther convert since JP II became "Pope"? Luther believe that Christ was "a murderer, a sinner, and an evil door." (Luther has been responsible for the damnation of millions of souls that followed him. He was a devil in human form.)
 - In 1989, he wrote to all the Moslems of the world saying that he addressed them "In the name of the same God that we adore."

(Heresy) He called the Koran in "a holy book." Article 2 of the Koran says, "He who believes in the Trinity is impure just like excrement and urine."

- He said "Non-Catholics sects have an apostolic mission." (None of the Apostles were non-Catholic. Unless you count Judas.)
- In his "Crossing the Threshold of Hope" he wrote, "Buddhism is a religion of salvation."
(In Matthew 28:19-20, Christ instructs His disciples to baptize new believers in the name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit. Buddhists do not believe in the Holy Trinity, nor are baptized. So you can believe Christ or JP II.)
- He approved as valid, "masses" of the Assyrian Church a schismatic sect which descend from the heretical Nestorians. In these "masses," there are no words of consecration.
- Encouraged Protestants to be faithful to their denomination.
- He said that it was impossible for anyone to go to hell. (Christ talked about Hell at least 20 times. So you can believe Christ or JP II.)
- He kissed the Koran, and 1989, he wrote to all the Moslems of the world saying that he addressed them "In the name of the same God that we adore." (Heresy - Moslems do not believe in the Holy Trinity.) Article 2 of the Koran says, "He who believes in the Trinity is impure just like excrement and urine."
- The Vatican put out a coin with JP II's image on it, along with 3 six-pointed stars. (666?)

When JP II died, he was also the most popular man in the world. (Of course. It's just like if parents want to be totally popular with their children, they just have to never tell them the word "No." And just avoid teaching them the 10 Commandments, which is informing them of God's telling them the word "No" in many areas. So a "Pope" who doesn't tell either Catholics or non-Catholics the word "No" will be very popular.)

However, Christ said, *"If the world hates you, know that it has hated me before you."* {John 15:18} A good Pope should be the most unpopular man in the world. Like Christ, he should be hated, by telling the 6 billion people in the world who are not Catholic that they need to be to get to Heaven. He should be telling the half a billion baptized "Catholics" who don't receive the Sacraments, that they need to do so if they want Salvation. And he should even be telling the half a billion Catholics who do receive the Sacraments that they should be working at being better Catholics, and trying to influence others to become Catholic. (None of the VII "Popes" did any of these.)

When JP II died, he was also the most popular man in the world. (Of course. It's just like if parents want to be totally popular with their children, they just have to never tell them the word "No." And just avoid teaching them the 10 Commandments, which is informing them of God's telling them the word "No" in many areas. So a "Pope" who doesn't tell either Catholics or non-Catholics the word "No" will be very popular.)

However, Christ said, *"If the world hates you, know that it has hated me before you."* {John 15:18} A good Pope should be the most unpopular man in the world. Like Christ, he should be hated, by telling the 6 billion people in the world who are not Catholic that they need to be to get to Heaven. He should be telling the half a billion baptized "Catholics" who don't receive the Sacraments, that they need to do so if they want Salvation. And he should even be telling the half a billion Catholics who do receive the Sacraments that they should be working at being better Catholics, and trying to influence others to become Catholic. (None of the VII "Popes" did any of these.)

It is telling that John Paul II's body was placed in a coffin that was trapezoidal in shape. The trapezoid is considered the most Satanic of shapes in occultism.

BENEDICT XVII

Before becoming "Pope":

- A member of the Hitler Youth.
- In the seminary, he disliked works by St. Thomas Aquinas, but read books by Modernist, atheist, and non-Catholic authors,.
- In his book "The Ratzinger Report," he said that Jesus "was, somehow, in a mysterious way, almost unfathomably God." (Heresy)
- As a Cardinal, he said, "The Church must only announce Christ. It does not need to attract to Herself, nor add to Her flock." (Heresy)
- Was considered a radical theologian at Vatican II. Even though a priest, he dressed in a suit there.

After becoming "Pope":

- Said he had not changed since Vatican II.
- Wore a Pan miter on his head following his inauguration ceremony.
- Spoke positively of Luther's views and even praised him.
- Criticized bishops who placed obstacles to ecumenism, and also criticized devotion to Our Lady, the Rosary, and St. Joseph.
- He denies Resurrection of the Body. "It now becomes clear that the

real heart of faith in the resurrection does not consist at all in the idea of the restoration of bodies, to which we have reduced in our thinking."

- Said that a Mass is valid without the words of Consecration. (Heresy)
 - His encyclical "*God is Love*" never even mentions Heaven, conversion, or avoidance of sin.
 - Said all religions have the truth, and wanted Christians, Jews, and followers of Islam "to be true to who they are as believers." (Heresy)
 - He says that Protestants have an equal chance for Heaven. (So why did Christ establish a Church - and it wasn't Protestant?)
 - He claimed that Jews do not need to know or acknowledge Christ as the Son of God in order to be saved. (So the Apostles wasted their time by preaching Christ and being martyred for it?)
 - He even said that all men are saved. (Heresy)
 - He worshiped with Jews and said they can go to Heaven.
 - Said that infant baptism has no purpose. (Heresy)
 - Was knee deep in the sexual perversion cover-up. He issued a warning to every bishop that there would be strict penalties to those who referred allegations of sexual abuse to civil authorities.
 - Said visiting the Blessed Sacrament is a waste of time. "To go to church on the ground that one can visit God who is present there is a senseless act each modern man rightfully recent rejects."
 - Said, "The pagan creation account on which the biblical story is in part based..."
 - "In every age there have been, and still are, pagan Saints..." (Absurd)
 - He denies that the Catholic Faith is necessary for salvation - despite the Catholic Church expressly the teachings that. (That obviously make him a non-Catholic.)
- "Were these laws really handed over to Moses by God when he appeared on Mount Sinai? To what extent are these Commandments really supposed to come from God..."
- He said followers of Islam worship the same God. (Heresy)
 - Said the Koran it is a holy book of a great religion for which he has respect.
 - Said that the term "Original Sin" is misleading and imprecise.
 - Supported the idea of a one world government and religion in his address to the UN in 2008. He reinforced JP II's belief in it

being a "moral center" for a united world. Instead of the 10 Commandments, he called the atheistic Declaration of Human Rights the "fundamental nucleus of values." (St. Pius X warned in 1904 of "the great movement of apostasy being organized in every country for the establishment of a One-World Church which I have either neither dogmas nor hierarchy, neither discipline for the mind nor curb for the passions.")

- He also led one of those interfaith ceremonies in Assisi in 2011. (Again, the biggest sin against the First Commandment that can probably be committed. He also has not recanted publicly, so that Mortal Sin has also not been forgiven.)

FRANCIS

Unfortunately, the resent "Pope" was worse than all the rest: Evidence that Francis was neither a true Pope nor a true Catholic:

- Had a pagan notion of God which he contemptuously dismisses as a "vague idea in the clouds." (Heresy)
- Said that Jews and atheists can go to Heaven. That is not possible, as neither believes in the Holy Trinity or is baptized.
- Said "There is no Hell, there is the disappearance of sinful souls." (Heresy)
 - Christ talked about Hell many times. You can believe Christ or Francis. (Take your choice.)
- In Indonesia, said "We are from different religions, but we have only one God." (Heresy) There is only one God - the Holy Trinity - which the people he was speaking to don't believe in.
- Brought a statue of Pachamama, a pagan idol, into the Vatican. This is not only sacrilegious, but a Mortal Sin against the First Commandment.
- In reinforcement for his disrespect for the Blessed Virgin Mary, said that she was, "A normal girl, a girl of today...Nothing was exceptional about her." (Heresy) The Holy Trinity thought she was exceptional by making her the mother of Jesus Christ, our Savior. So you can believe the Holy Trinity or Francis. (Take your choice.)
- Told a child that there are animals in Heaven. (Heresy)
- Said, "cohabitation" with fidelity is real marriage, and has the grace of real marriage." (Heresy)
- Said it is a "very grave sin" to try to convert others to Catholicism. (Heresy) Christ said to "*make disciples of all nations.*"
- Said "We have to revise theology according to science." (Heresy)

- A recent statement of his was that sins of the flesh are the lightest of all sins. “ The Blessed Virgin Mary at Fatima said that, *“More souls go to Hell because of sins of the flesh than any other reason.”* (One can either believe Mary or Francis. Take your choice.)
- Carried a sorcerer’s staff at the Vatican Youth Synod. (Scandal)
- Supported the United Nations Sustainable Goals which promotes abortion and birth control. (Scandal)
- Responded to a question about homosexuality with the infamous statement: “Who am I to judge.” (He doesn’t have to judge. God has already done so. Just ask the Souls in Hell from Sodom and Gomorrah.) (One of Communism’s diabolical errors is to present homosexuality as “normal, natural, and healthy.”)
- Appeared as the "Person of the Year" on the cover of a popular homosexual magazine, *The Advocate*. {No surprise there.}
- Refused to kneel at the Consecration are before the exposed Blessed Sacrament, even though he knelt to kiss the feet of Muslims.
- Said that contraception is morally permissible in order to prevent the transmission of disease. (Heresy)
- Told a woman who was civilly married to a divorced man that she could "safely receive Communion because she is doing nothing wrong."
- Said that the greatest evils afflicting the Church are youth unemployment and the loneliness of the aged. (So much for sin.)
- He also said, "proselytism is the strongest venom against the path of ecumenism." (So one can follow Christ or Francis. Take your choice)
- Said, “I separate the issue of abortion from any religious concept. It is a scientific problem.” (Heresy)
- Praised the Italy's leading proponent of abortion as one of the nations "Forgotten greats."
- Discouraged new couples from getting married. He encouraged them to commit Mortal Sins by fornicating for a while to "cultivate fidelity."
- Not only ignored the evidence of Cardinal McCarrick's sexual crimes, but consulted him about who to promote in the Church in the United States. Only when McCarrick's crimes became public did the “Pope” act.
- Said, "Mother Earth gave us life, and that is she who protects us." {Heresy on many counts.}
- Said, "Pluralism and the diversity of religions... are willed by God

- in His wisdom." (Heresy)
- Promoted a freemasonic manifesto aimed at revolutionizing Catholic education.
 - He also called for a "new world order." (A "new world order" is the goal of Freemasonry.)
 - He said, There is no hell, there is the disappearance of sinful souls." (So you can believe Christ or Francis. Take your choice.)
 - He admired Martin Luther so much that he has held up Luther as one to be venerated by Christians when he set up the statue of Luther in St. Peter's Square. (Among other things Luther called the Holy Mass "an abomination.")
(There is an old expression, "It takes one to know one." In the case of Francis and Luther, it could be said, "It takes a heretic to honor a heretic.")
 - He also traveled to Stockholm that year to "celebrate" the 500th anniversary of the Protestant Revolution. (St. Ignatius de Loyola must be rolling in his grave, as Francis is a member of the Jesuit religious order which was founded to defend the Faith against Martin Luther's sacrilegious and blasphemous heresies. In Francis's actions, he was basically equating the Truths of Christ with the heresies of Luther.)
 - In his *Amoris Laetitia*, he basically downgraded adultery from being a Mortal Sin to being merely "an imperfect participation in the ideal of Holy Matrimony."
 - He saw nothing wrong with the divorced and "remarried" receiving Holy Communion.
(Heresy)
 - One of the many scandals of Francis is that he issued a Vatican stamp honoring Martin Luther.
 - Wanted to subject theology to science, where we are now supposed to listen to the scientist, the social psychologist, the sociologist, and the scholars, who will completely re-process the Word of God and nullify it. It will be changed accordingly and make it fit into human understanding to fit into the mentality of our times. Or we should say, the heresies of our time.
 - Wanted to have "a different church." To do so would necessitate watering down of Catholic doctrine and morality. (Pope St. Pius X in his apostolic letter, *Notre Charge Apostolique*, warned of ***"the establishment of a universal Church which will have no dogmas, no hierarchy, no rule for the mind, no braking for the passions and which, under the pretext of freedom and human dignity, would bring back into the world if it could, to triumph, the legal reign of cunning and strength, and the oppression of the weak, of those who***

suffer and work.") (Archbishop Fulton Sheen, writing in 1948, speaks of a "counterchurch" that "will have all the notes and characteristics of the (Catholic) Church, but in reverse and emptied of its divine content. It will be a mystical body of the Antichrist that will in all externals resemble the mystical body of Christ.")

What is Francis's "different church?" Would it take the ordination of deaconesses? Or would it take the ordination of women to the priesthood? That is certainly the next step in the feminist's plan. One would have to be naïve to believe that women - and Satan who is behind it - are going to be satisfied with women being deaconesses - or anything less.

It makes one wonder what it would take for the vast majority of the religious and laity around the world, to take off their rose-colored glasses and admit what is obvious: that Jorge Bergoglio (Francis) is at minimum a heretic and anti-Pope, and at maximum, Satan's Campaign Manager on Earth. So does that make Cardinals who align themselves with Francis "Anti-Cardinals, Bishops who align themselves with Francis "Anti-Bishops, priests who align themselves with Francis "Anti-priests, and the laity who align themselves with Francis "Anti-Catholics? We should pray that Francis and his followers convert to being true Catholics - and apologize to God and the whole world for their heresies and scandals.

Among their heresies of the VII "Popes," are, of course, some true and even conservative passages. Otherwise, the media would not have "soundbites" that could be fed to Catholics and non-Catholics alike. The average Catholic does not know what the VII "Popes" have actually said and done. The VII "Popes" are like magicians to have you watch one hand, while the other hand is doing the trick. Even the devil will tell you 9 things that are true in order to get you to believe number 10 which is false. The VII "Popes" have learned that lesson well.

There are many people who think, "But wasn't John XXIII, Paul VI, and John Paul II canonized as Saints.?" They were fast-tracked through in order to try to make their heresies and the heretical beliefs of VII appear legitimate.

In 1587, Pope Sixtus V established the position of "devils advocate" as part of the process of beatification or canonization. That person was to bring up any negative information about the person. John Paul II abolished that position in 1983. (My, what a coincidence, that he supposedly got to benefit from that. However, it did not make a difference, as assigning a heretic to investigate

another heretic would be like asking Lenin to investigate and give negative information on another Communist. And without a “devil’s advocate, even Lenin could be declared a “saint.”) (If this was done in the legal system, no one could ever be convicted of a crime, if the judge did not allow any evidence against the accused.)

In actuality, a heretical non-Catholic “Pope” canonizing another heretical non-Catholic “Pope” is meaningless. An analogy may explain: If a person makes a bad Confession - leaving out a Mortal Sin on purpose - then Christ overrules the absolution that the priest gives. Therefore, you can be assured that Christ also overrules a bad canonization.

Don’t be fooled into thinking any VII “Pope” could possibly be a true Saint.

In Vatican Council I, a doctoral counsel, states clearly that St. Peter, the first Pope, has *"perpetual successors in the Primacy over the universal Church..."* This does not mean did that ends - regardless of the time between legitimate *"perpetual successors."* In the last 2000 years, the interruption of successors of Popes has, most of the time lasted several weeks, or a month or so, but there have been times when the interruption lasted longer than that, and considerably longer. The Church has never specified the length or duration of the vacancy of the Chair of Peter to be allowed after the death of a Pope. The longest time that an anti-Pope reigned was Benedict XIII from 1394 to 1423. So for 27 years, the Church will was without a true Pope – but the Faith continued.

It just so happens that there has been a interruption of secession, unfortunately, since the death of Pope Pius XII in 1958. There will be the return of a true Catholic Pope to the Papal Chair, when God wills it, and in the way He wills it. In the meantime, because all VII “Popes” were - or are - liberals and modernists, they all have made efforts to downgrade, or even eliminate, almost everything that is traditional - especially the Latin Mass, the "Mass for all ages."

Regardless of what Vatican II and it’s “Popes” say, it is still necessary to be a 100% obedient Catholic to the 2000-year-old teachings of the Church that Jesus Christ Himself founded - in order to guarantee entrance into Heaven.

Pope Eugene IV at the Council of Florence: *"Those not living within the Catholic Church, not only pagans, but also Jews and heretics and schismatic's cannot become participants and eternal life, but will depart 'into everlasting fire which was prepared for the devil and his angels, unless before the end of life the same as have been*

added to the flock.' (Matthew 25:41) "No one, whatever almsgiving he has practiced, even if he has shed blood for the name of Christ, can be saved, and less he has remained in the bosom and unity of the Catholic Church." (Decree Cantate Domino)

"Outside the Catholic Church there is no salvation." This doctrine has been defined three times.

Pope Pius IX defined Protestantism as *"the great revolt against God."*

This is, of course, disheartening to non-Catholics, but Christ and His Church makes the rules, and they don't change - or become easier - because they are not popular.

It is time to ask yourself three questions:

1. Did I know all of this previously about the Vatican II Popes?
2. Now that I do know, do I have any respect for any of the Vatican II "Popes"?
3. Was I seduced by the media to believe that John XXIII was "good" or that John Paul II was "the Great?"
4. Do I now recognize these men as all heretics and all anti-Popes?
5. Will I now ignore everything any of them have said or done that is a contradiction of what the Catholic Church has taught for more than 1900 years before Vatican II?

TEST FOR CATHOLICS

The present apostasy in the hierarchy could be allowed by God as a test for good Catholics. "Bad Catholics," including Modernists, heretics, apostates, liberals, and cafeteria Catholics have always been tested over the last 2000 years to believe what was handed down by the Apostles, or leave the Church because of not wanting to follow *everything* that Christ and His Church taught on Faith and morals. Those who refused to believe got out, and either rejected all religion, started their own, or followed someone who did. Satan was very successful with them - as with all non-Catholic religions. Then over a hundred years ago, he added the tactic of trying to destroy the Church from the inside. That attempt came to fruition with Vatican II. At that time, liberals took over almost all the positions of authority in the Catholic Church - and still hold them today.

Now, even good Catholics have been fooled in two major ways. The first is a false notion of obedience to a priest, a Bishop, a Cardinal, or even a "Pope" - just because they are a member of the hierarchy. Remember again, that a heretic is no longer a Catholic,

and therefore has no authority or jurisdiction over us. Satan knows that if he can get Catholics to follow heretical clergy on any level {wolves in sheep's clothing}, then his work is half done for him.

St. Francis de Sales book, *Introduction to the Devout Life*, he wrote, "*If the declared enemies of God and of the Church ought to be blamed and censured with all possible vigor, charity obliges us to cry wolf when the wolf slips into the midst of the flock and in every way and place we may meet him.*" By calling a wolf a wolf, no harm was ever done to the sheep or the Shepherd.

What Christ said in Matthew 7:15 cannot be repeated too often, "*Beware of false prophets, who come to you in sheep's clothing, but inwardly are ravenous wolves,*" He certainly could have been talking about the hierarchy in the Church for the last 60 years. No one - no one - should follow a priest, a Bishop, a Cardinal, or even a Pope who is not a 100% orthodox Catholic.

While Christ did tell Peter, "*What you bind on earth will be bound in Heaven.*" Christ is obviously not bound by heretical statements by apostate "Popes."

Without any negative data about any possible scandals or expressed heresies orally or in writing - anyone can be declared a Saint - even someone like John XXIII, Paul VI, and John Paul II. (Anyone think it was a coincidence that they all got to benefit from that for their "canonizations.") It is also interesting to note that the requirement of two Miracles by the proposed Saint has been reduced to one. It would seem that if God wanted someone canonized, it is no harder for him to perform two Miracles as well as one. And it can be asked, what will the next reduction by a heretical "Pope" - to a half-Miracle? If God wanted someone to be canonized, is there anyone who doubts - besides a heretic - that He would have a problem performing two Miracles instead of one?

It is a fact that all the counciliar "Popes" were heretics - especially the present one - and no thickness of rose-colored glasses can change that. (St. Thomas Aquinas taught that there is no argument against a fact.)

There was a prophecy of St. Francis of Assisi: "*There will be an uncanonically elected Pope who will cause a great schism.*" (Whether or not any or all of the Vatican II "Popes" were validly elected is besides the point. Even if they did not espouse a heresy before being elected, as soon as they expressed even one heresy after that, they were no longer a Catholic, and therefore no longer a Pope.)

Ignore Francis, the masonic Cardinals in the Vatican, and the false "ecumenism" and false "religious liberty" from Vatican II. Ignore anything they say or write that lowers your Faith, your morals,

or your devotions. Reject being led by wolves in sheep's clothing, and at the present time, there is a multitude of wolves inside as well as outside of the Catholic Church. It is best to be cautious - even suspicious - of any changes or anything "new" in the Church. When the Bible says, "*Hold on to traditions,*" that was not only a statement of belief, but also a prophecy of when traditions would not be not held in high esteem.

If the first five councilor "Popes" were paid agents of Satan, they could not have done much more to try to destroy the Church. And Francis is a combination of the others - and worse. He can continue to make heretical statements, but he will fail like all others who seek to destroy the Catholic Church. (And if Francis tried to proclaim a heresy as an infallible statement, the Holy Spirit would just take him out.) So pray for the conversion of all of the heretics, the Modernists, and the liberals - especially Francis, as he causes more scandal and loss of Souls than anyone else.

The Church, at present, seems to be leaderless. But it shall be repeated that it was the same at different times over the past 2000 years - and the Church has come out of every one of those periods even stronger than before. (Satan is winning against individual persons in the Church - not the Church itself. And he hasn't changed his tactics. He has just refined them over the centuries. He's still successful by tempting with Pride and emotions. And it still works.)

God's Permissive Will has allowed many negative things to affect His Church. It allowed the Protestant Revolution, the infiltration of modernists and liberals within the Catholic Church, the homosexual scandal in the Church, and Vatican II and its heretical "Popes." These are all tests to the keeping of the Faith, and all fall under Christ saying about His Church, that "*the gates of Hell shall not prevail against it.*"

So where are we today? We live in a time where the Church is rocked by scandals and up to our necks in heresies. It is discouraging and disheartening to say the least. The ray of Hope is the light that has always guided the Catholic Church, and that is the Holy Trinity. Again, God is still in charge. It's not easy to trust when darkness surrounds us, but we must keep the traditional Faith that has been handed down to us over the last 2000 years, and not break - or even bend - to Modernism, its adherents, or its heretical teachings. Remember, Christ said, "*Wide is the gate and broad is the way that leads to destruction, and many there are who enter that way.*" {Matthew 7:13}

The Catholic Church can - and must - turn the clock back

because it's been giving the wrong time for the past 55 years. During the time of the Protestant revolution, the altar of sacrifice was replaced by a table for a community meal. 100% orthodox lay Catholics and religious can only pray for the restitution of the Church to its former state of a unity of beliefs, a unity of holding high moral standards, and a unity of worship.

Keep reading a Catechism published before 1960 - like the Baltimore Catechism No. 3, to learn or reinforce all the doctrines and dogmas of our Church.

Be very careful what you read - especially "religious" works - and especially with copyrights after 1960. At one retreat center, all books printed before 1960 were dumped by a new liberal Retreat Master. Read only books and magazines which openly profess to be traditional or conservative. Do not be seduced by anything because if it is "new" or "modern." Those words are used as a Trojan Horse to enter the mind of average Catholics. Good Catholics, who judge and act loyally according to these principles, will rarely be deceived. Remember, one cannot compromise Truth with error. No matter how busy we are in this world we live in, we still have to constantly be improving in our spiritual life. There is not such thing as standing still. We are either getting better or we are getting worse. We wouldn't have to always be reinforcing ourselves as much on what *The Catholic Church* teaches - rather than follow the heretical hierarchy at the moment - if we were not always dealing with "the world, the flesh, and the devil."

100% true Catholics should be concerned - but not be worried. God is still in charge. He is allowing this test of being faithful to traditional faith and morals during this apostasy in the Church today. Suffice to say that Christ's Church survived all the other anti-Popes, heresies, and scandals in the last 2000 years - and it will survive this one.

It can be predicted, that in the future, a truly holy, 100% orthodox Pope will be elected. He will encourage all infidels to become Christians, and every Christian to join (or rejoin) Christ's Catholic Church, which will fulfill the "*one fold and one shepherd*" that Christ spoke about. Some of his initial accomplishments will likely be: Revealing the entire 3rd Secret of Fatima (which may well refer to an "evil Council") - Consecrate Russia to the Blessed Virgin Mary with all the Bishops of the world, which will finally bring about the promised peace - Eliminate the Pride-inducing "communion in the hand" - Return the Mass to being more of a vertical relationship to Christ rather than a horizontal relationship to others in the pews -

Again establish abstinence of meat on all Fridays for Penance - Eliminate Saturday evening Masses and again make Sunday Mass the center of the Lord's Day - Encourage 1st Saturday devotions - Emphasize that artificial birth control is a Mortal Sin - Return to annulments being rare - Get rid of all the Freemasons in the Vatican - publicly excommunicate "Catholic" politicians who support abortion - and have a public ceremony in front of St. Peter's where he will personally burn the Documents of Vatican II, and the new Catechism and new Code of Canon Law (which among other things, switches the primary (procreation) and secondary (mutual love and help) ends of marriage).

In the meantime, continue to regularly receive the Sacraments of Confession and Holy Communion, reading the New Testament and Lives of the Saints, praying the Holy Rosary daily, wearing the Brown Scapular, and studying the Baltimore Catechism No. 3 to know what *the* Catholic Church teaches and believes. One can also attend Mass at a Latin Mass parish, where 100% Catholicism is believed, followed, and practiced.

To keep up with what is going on in the Church - that you do not hear about from the national or international media - it would be a good idea to get The Fatima Crusader magazine (fatima.org), and the newsletter from the Servants of Jesus & Mary (sjmfatimacrusade.com).